

**Opinion 2401 (Case 3554) — *Anaphes* Haliday, 1833 (Insecta, Hymenoptera, Mymaridae): designation of *Anaphes fuscipennis* Haliday, 1833 as the type species**

Author(s): International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature

Source: The Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature, 74(2):122-124.

Published By: International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature

<https://doi.org/10.21805/bzn.v74.a031>

URL: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/full/10.21805/bzn.v74.a031>

---

BioOne ([www.bioone.org](http://www.bioone.org)) is a nonprofit, online aggregation of core research in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. BioOne provides a sustainable online platform for over 170 journals and books published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Web site, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/page/terms\\_of\\_use](http://www.bioone.org/page/terms_of_use).

Usage of BioOne content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

## Opinion 2401 (Case 3554) – *Anaphes* Haliday, 1833 (Insecta, Hymenoptera, Mymaridae): designation of *Anaphes fuscipennis* Haliday, 1833 as the type species

International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature

*c/o Lee Kong Chian Natural History Museum, 2 Conservatory Drive, Singapore 117377, Republic of Singapore (iczn@nus.edu.sg)*

<http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:4505A6E1-B332-4251-9453-C1802D8EE3CB>

<http://dx.doi.org/10.21805/bzn.v74.031>

---

**Abstract.** The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature has used its plenary power to designate *Anaphes fuscipennis* Haliday, 1833 as the type species of the generic name of parasitic wasps *Anaphes* Haliday, 1833.

**Keywords.** Nomenclature; taxonomy; Insecta; Hymenoptera; Mymaridae; *Anaphes*; *Anaphes fuscipennis*; *Anaphes punctum*; biological control.

---

### Ruling

The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature has hereby:

- (1) used its plenary power to set aside Opinion 71, insofar as it applies to the type species of the generic name *Anaphes* Haliday, 1833;
- (2) used its plenary power to set aside its previous designation (in Opinion 729) of a type-species for the generic name *Anaphes* Haliday, 1833 and instead designated *Anaphes fuscipennis* Haliday, 1833 as the type-species of the generic name;
- (3) placed the specific name *fuscipennis* Haliday, 1833, as published in the binomen *Anaphes fuscipennis* (specific name of the type species of *Anaphes* Haliday, 1833 as ruled in (2) above), on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology;
- (4) emended the entry in the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology for the name *Anaphes* Haliday, 1833, to record that its gender is masculine and not feminine, and that its type species is *Anaphes fuscipennis* Haliday, 1833 as ruled in (2) above, not *Ichneumon punctum* Shaw, 1798;
- (5) emended the entry in the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology for the name *punctum* Shaw, 1798, as published in the binomen *Ichneumon punctum*, to record that it is not the name of the type species of *Anaphes* Haliday, 1833.

### History of Case 3554

An application to designate *Anaphes fuscipennis* Haliday, 1833 as the type species of *Anaphes* Haliday, 1833 for a group of mymarid wasps was received from John T. Huber (*Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada*), John S. Noyes and Andrew Polaszek (*Department of Entomology, The Natural History Museum, London, U.K.*) and Serguei Triapitsyn (*Department of Entomology, University of*

*California, Riverside, California, U.S.A.*). After correspondence the Case was published in BZN **68**(2): 122–126 on 30 June 2011 (Huber et al., 2011). The title, abstract and keywords of the Case were published on the Commission's website. Three comments in support of the Case were published in BZN **69**(2): 140, **71**(3): 190 (Pagliano, 2012; Mohammad Hayat et al., 2014; Noyes, 2014). A set of alternative proposals by the first author of the original Case was published in BZN **71**(2): 132–133 (Huber, 2014). A corrigendum to the revised proposals of the Case (Huber, 2014) was published in BZN **71**(3): 181 (ICZN, 2014).

The two sets of proposals were sent for vote in September 2012 but due to unforeseen circumstances, no Ruling by the Commission was issued. The two sets of proposals were sent again for vote on 2 December 2016 (VP 14). Less than half of the Commissioners voted FOR set A (original proposals) (12 For, 4 Against, 8 Abstain). A greater than two-thirds majority of Commissioners voted FOR set B (alternative proposals) (22 For, 0 Against, 2 Abstain).

### **Decision of the Commission**

At the close of the voting period on 2 March 2017 the votes were as follows:

#### **Set A (original proposals):**

Affirmative votes – 12: Bouchard, Dmitriev, Kojima, Kottelat, Krell, Kullander, Pape, Rheindt, Welter-Schultes, Yanega, Zhang and Zhou.

Negative votes – 4: Alonso-Zarazaga, Grygier, Halliday and Rosenberg.

Abstain – 8: Aescht, Ballerio, Bogutskaya, Bourgoin, Evenhuis, Harvey, Lamas and Winston.

Ng and van Tol were on leave of absence.

No vote was received from Pyle.

#### **Set B (alternative proposals):**

Affirmative votes – 22: Aescht, Alonso-Zarazaga, Ballerio, Bogutskaya, Bouchard, Dmitriev, Evenhuis, Grygier, Halliday, Harvey, Kojima, Kottelat, Krell, Kullander, Lamas, Pape, Rheindt, Rosenberg, Welter-Schultes, Winston, Yanega and Zhang.

Negative votes – None.

Abstain – 2: Bourgoin and Zhou.

Ng and van Tol were on leave of absence.

No vote was received from Pyle.

Voting FOR set A and FOR set B, Krell stated that the two sets are not alternatives. Set B is the same as Set A, but complemented by an additional, necessary, request. While preferring the more complete Set B, he could not but vote for Set A, too, as the requests are the same, just incomplete. We might consider, in Cases in which the authors correct, complement, or revise their requests in whatever way, to vote only on the revised Case. In this current Case, the authorship of the original Case and the revision differ, with only the first author authoring the revision. Krell would ask the editor of BZN to ask the authors for identical authorship if a revision of a Case is submitted.

Voting to ABSTAIN for set A and voting FOR set B, Lamas stated that he had previously

voted For this Case in September 2012. Now that the vote has been split, he voted For set B.

### Original description

The following is the original description to the entry on the Official List in the ruling given in the present Opinion:

*fuscipennis*, *Anaphes*, Haliday, 1833: 346.

### References

- Halliday AH (1833) Essay on the classification of the parasitic Hymenoptera of Britain, which correspond with the Ichneumonones minuti of Linnaeus. *Entomological Magazine* 1: 333–350.
- Hayat M, Bin Zeya S, Binte Anis S (2014) Comment on the proposed designation of *Anaphes fuscipennis* Haliday, 1833 as the type species of *Anaphes* Haliday, 1833 (Insecta, Hymenoptera). *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 71 (3): 180–181.
- Huber JT (2014) Comment on the proposed designation of *Anaphes fuscipennis* Haliday, 1833 as the type species of *Anaphes* Haliday, 1833 (Insecta, Hymenoptera). *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 71 (2): 132–133.
- Huber JT, Noyes JS, Polaszek A, Triapitsyn S (2011) Case 3554 – *Anaphes* Haliday, 1833 (Insecta, Hymenoptera): proposed designation of *A. fuscipennis* Haliday, 1833 as the type species. *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 68 (2): 122–126.
- ICZN, International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (1922) Opinion 71 – Interpretation of the expression ‘typical species’ in Westwood’s (1840) *Synopsis*. *Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections* 73 (1): 16–18, 1922
- ICZN, International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (1965) Opinion 729 – *Mymar* Curtis, 1829 (Insecta, Hymenoptera): designation of a type-species under the plenary powers. *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 22(2): 82–83.
- ICZN, International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (2014) Corrigendum to Comment on Case 3554 – *Anaphes* Haliday, 1833 (Insecta, Hymenoptera): proposed designation of *A. fuscipennis* Haliday, 1833 as the type species. *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 71 (3): 181.
- Noyes J (2014) Comment on the proposed designation of *Anaphes fuscipennis* Haliday, 1833 as the type species of *Anaphes* Haliday, 1833 (Insecta, Hymenoptera). *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 71 (3): 180.
- Pagliano G (2012) Comment on the proposed designation of *Anaphes fuscipennis* Haliday, 1833 as the type species of *Anaphes* Haliday, 1833 (Insecta, Hymenoptera). *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 69 (2): 140.