This is a PDF file of the manuscript that has been accepted for publication. This file will be reviewed by the authors and editors before the paper is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content. All legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.
Morphology and ecological setting of the basal echinoid genus
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Based on new material from Germany and Spain, the echinoid ‘_Lepidocentrus’ ibericus_ Hauser & Landeta from the Early Devonian (Emsian) of northern Spain is shown to be congeneric with _Rhenechinus_ from the Hunsrück Slate of south-western Germany. New information on the lantern, pedicellariae and internal structure of the theca is provided, and confirms this genus as a member of the Echinocystitidae-Proterocidaridae clade and the most primitive of all Devonian echinoids. The two environmental settings in which _Rhenechinus_ is found are very different: the Spanish specimens come from a relatively shallow-water bryozoan meadow setting while the German specimens are preserved in a deep-water setting. We deduce that the rare echinoid specimens from the Hunsrück Slate are all allochthonous, whereas the Spanish material is preserved _in situ._
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Introduction

Although the fossil record of echinoids extends back to the Ordovician, Palaeozoic echinoids remain scarce and very poorly known. This is because their skeleton is much less robust compared to that of their post-Palaeozoic descendants, and they are rarely well preserved. Whereas the great majority of post-Palaeozoic echinoids have coronal plates that abut and are firmly sutured together, all Palaeozoic echinoids have a test constructed of imbricate plates that falls apart rapidly upon death. Consequently, our knowledge of the early evolutionary history of echinoids is patchy at best, and comes from a small number of localities and horizons where sedimentary conditions have favoured rapid burial.

The Devonian marks an important period in the diversification of echinoids and saw the start of several lineages that came to dominate in the upper Palaeozoic (Kier 1965, text-fig. 8; 1968). It is unfortunate, therefore, that so little is known about the echinoids and their ecology at this period. This stems from the paucity of well-preserved echinoids that have been described. Just 17 species in ten genera have been recorded from the Devonian, mostly from Germany or North America, and half of these are based on isolated spines, disarticulated plates or test fragments that are so incompletely known as to be effectively indeterminate (Smith 2011). Yet, judging from their morphological disparity, echinoids appear to have been rather diverse at this time. Of the six genera for which adequate material exists, three (Albertechinus Stearn, 1956, Nortonechinus Thomas, 1924 and Deneechinus Jackson, 1929) are members of the Archaeocidaridae, one (Lepidechinoides Cooper, 1931) is a lepidesthid, another (Porechinus Dehm, 1961) a palaechinid, and a third (Rhenechinus
Dehm, 1953) an echinocystitid. The only Palaeozoic echinoids to have been previously reported from Spain are some disarticulated plates and spines that were referred to “Archaeocidaris sp.” (Barrois 1882; Sieverts-Doreck 1951), and the poorly known *Lepidocentrotus ibericus* Hauser & Landeta (2007). Newly collected material of *L. ibericus* allows us to establish the true identity of this species and reveals its close relationship to *Rhenechinus hopstaetteri* Dehm, 1953, from the German Lower Devonian Hunsrück Slate. *R. hopstaetteri* was established on the basis of a single partial test. A second, well-preserved individual of this species has recently come to light and we therefore take this opportunity to provide a detailed redescription of the German species and review our understanding of this genus and its phylogenetic position.

**Geological settings**

All the specimens come from the vicinity of Arnao village, Asturias, on the northern side of the Cantabrian Zone (North Spain) within the Somiedo-Correcilla Unit (Fig. 1). In this area a Lower Devonian (upper Emsian) succession crops out in a series of old quarries between La Vela Cape and Arnao beach. Here the lower 60 m of the Aguión Formation are exposed and have been informally divided into three lithostratigraphic units (*sensu* Álvarez Nava and Arbizu 1986); a lower calcareous unit, a middle marly-shaly unit and an upper unit of green and red marls (Fig. 2). The upper unit is 24 m thick and contains a rich fauna of pelmatozoans, fenestellid bryozoans and brachiopods. Crinoids are diverse and sometimes very common, especially *Trybliocrinus flatheanus* and to a lesser extent *Pterinocrinus*
decembrachiatus, Orthocrinus sp. and Stamnocrinus intrastigmatus (Schmidt 1931; Breimer 1962). Blastoids include Pentremitidea lusitanica and Pleuroschisma verneuili (Waters pers. com., May 2011). The commonest brachiopod is Anathyris and there are abundant large fenestellid bryozoan colonies (Isotrypa sp.). Articulated specimens of Rhenechinus come from a horizon towards the top of the upper unit (Fig. 2, arrow), although isolated plates are found throughout.

Argillaceous content varies within the upper unit of the Aguión Formation, indicating variability in the supply of terrigenous material. Arbizu et al. (1995) suggested this was a major factor in controlling the different fossil assemblages encountered within the unit. Levels where the crinoid Trybolocrinus are common probably represent turbid palaeoenvironments where there was abundant mud in suspension, whereas the level with echinoids has abundant fenestellids and other crinoids (i.e., *P. decembrachiatus*) and appears to have been deposited in a well-oxygenated and relatively tranquil environment. Arbizu et al. (1995) interpreted the entire unit as having been deposited in a typical platform environment, with highly variable rates of terrigenous supply. The presence marl-rich levels with well-preserved echinoderm specimens alternating with tempestite encrinite levels suggests an offshore setting, sporadically affected by storm events.

The bed in which the echinoids are preserved is exposed on the foreshore at 43°34′44.6″N 5°59′02.2″W and is a firmground with attached crinoid holdfasts in places. Echinoids are preserved at the base of a red clay drape that covered this surface and appear to have been relatively common at this level. We deduce that echinoids were living in amongst the bryozoan meadows in a firm-ground, level bottom community.
German material.

Both specimens of *Rhenechinus hopstaetteri* come from the Lower Devonian (Early Emsian) Hunsrück Slate in the Rhineland-Palatinate of south-western Germany. The Hunsrück Slate is a Lagerstätte famous for its soft tissue preservation (Bartels et al. 1998; Sutcliffe et al. 1999). These mudrocks were deposited in a shelf-basinal environment separated by shallower swells on which a diverse benthic marine fauna thrived. Arthropods dominate, but there are also corals, sponges, brachiopods, gastropods, cephalopods and echinoderms (Mittmeyer 1980; Bartels and Brassel 1990; Bartels et al. 1997, 1998; Kühl et al. 2011). Asteroids, ophiuroids and, to a lesser extent, crinoids dominate the echinoderm assemblages, but there are also rare holothurians and two monospecific echinoid genera (*Porechinus* Dehm and *Rhenechinus* Dehm) as well as fragments of the echinoid *Lepidocentrus* Müller (Table 1).

Materials and methods

Material is housed in the following institutions: Museum of Geology, Universidad de Oviedo (UO), Bayerische Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und Geologie, München (BSPG), Deutsches Bergbau-Museum, Bochum (DBM), Geowissenschaftliches Zentrum, Universität Göttingen (GZG), Natural History Museum, London (NHM), Naturhistorisches Museum Mainz, Landessammlung für Naturkunde (NM.PWL), and the Senckenberg Forschungsinstitut und Naturmuseum, Frankfurt/M. (SMF). Photographs and X-radiographs were taken at the Natural History Museum, London.
Evolutionary implications for echinoids

*Rhenechinus* was originally treated as a lepidocentrid by Dehm (1953) and Hauser & Landeta (2007) also assigned their Spanish specimen to *Lepidocentrus*. Kier (1965, p. 450; 1966) transferred *Rhenechinus* to the Echinocystidae on the basis of its plesiomorphic similarity to *Echinocystites*. However, much of its anatomy was at that time incompletely known. The new specimens from Spain (Figs 3-5) and Germany (Fig. 7) provide important new information on the morphology of *Rhenechinus* and help confirm its phylogenetic position as lying between *Echinocystites* and the Proterocidaridae. Based on our new material we now confirm that *Rhenechinus* retains many primitive features compared to other Devonian echinoids. Firstly, as first noted by Jesionek-Szymanska (1982), its teeth have a very primitive construction - termed simple oligolamellar (Reich & Smith 2009), like those of *Echinocystites* but in marked distinction to the teeth of archaeocidarids, lepidesthids, lepidocentrids and palaechinids, all of which have true lamellar teeth. Secondly, the radial water vessel in *Rhenechinus* is enclosed within the ambulacral plates, at least adorally. Each primary ambulacral plate has a large internal haft that projects perradially to underlie the radial water vessel (Fig. 4D), again a feature seen in all Ordovician and Silurian echinoids, including *Echinocystites*, but in none of the other Devonian forms except *Alberchtechinus*. Thirdly, the lack of enlarged primary tubercles and spines distinguishes *Rhenechinus* from other Devonian echinoids with the exception of *Porechinus*. Larger tubercles and spines are wanting from all Silurian and Ordovician echinoids and it is only in the Devonian that echinoids with larger articulated spines are first encountered. Finally, the ambulacral plating in *Rhenechinus* is identical to that seen in *Echinocystites*, and consists of a primary plate alternating with a
demiplate. This pattern of plating is not found in any other Devonian echinoid. All these features confirm the primitive status of *Rhenechinus* and its close similarity to *Echinocystites*. However, compared to *Echinocystites*, *Rhenechinus* has more regularly organized interambulacral plating and distinct peripodial rims around its pore-pairs, as in proterocidarids. *Rhenechinus* is therefore phylogenetically intermediate between *Echinocystites* and the Proterocidaridae.

*Rhenechinus* provides further information on the types of pedicellariae present in Palaeozoic echinoids. It possessed only tridactylous pedicellariae, although these were of two forms, large and small. The large spinate pedicellariae are similar in appearance to those that have been found in other Devonian echinoids (*Lepidocentrus*: Haude 1998). They are three-bladed and rest either on a small basal element or directly onto a tubercle. Ophicephalous and globiferous pedicellariae, which have been reported from some Carboniferous taxa (Geiss 1936; Coppard et al. 2010), are wanting. This supports the view that ophicephalous and globiferous pedicellariae evolved only in archaeocidarids. Larger pedicellariae are more common along the ambulacra suggesting that their primary role may have been to protect the tube-feet from pests and parasites. Smaller pedicellariae are found scattered over interambulacral plates and may have been rather common, although they are now preserved only in small patches.

Our new material also provides information on the structure of Palaeozoic echinoid lanterns. Specifically we recognize for the first time that compass elements were present (Fig. 4B). These slender elements act to regulate the volume of the peripharyngeal coelom as the lantern moves in and out of the test during feeding. Although lanterns have been described for a small number of Ordovician and Silurian echinoids (*Aulechinus, Palaeodiscus, Echinocystites* and *Aptilechinus*) none have
preserved compasses. Compasses are slender and rather fragile elements by comparison to the other elements that make up the lantern of sea urchins, so their absence may be taphonomic rather than genuine. Their definitive presence in *Rhenechinus* suggests that they must also have been present in many of the other Palaeozoic echinoids by phylogenetic implication.

It is unusual to find the same echinoid genus in two such very different environmental settings and this requires comment. The main difference between the Spanish and German deposits is that the former were formed under normal shallow marine conditions on a terrigeneous–carbonate ramp (Arbizu et al. 1995; see above), while the Hunsrück Slate lacks carbonates and was deposited under deeper-water, shelf-basin conditions (Bartels et al. 1998). The fact that the echinoids are common, and preserved so well at Arnao, indicates they are authochthonous. By contrast, after hundreds of years of work on the fauna of the Hunsrück Slate there are only two definite specimens of *Rhenechinus* known. Because echinoids preserved in the Hunsrück Slate are so rare (around ten specimens only are known; Table 1) they are presumably allochthonous, washed into the basins from shallower habitats in nearby local swells.

**Systematic palaeontology**

Stem group Echinoidea

Family Echinocystitidae Gregory, 1897, p. 133.


Type species: *Rhenechinus hopstaetteri* Dehm, 1953, by original designation.
Occurrence: Emsian, Lower Devonian, of Spain and Germany.

Diagnosis.— Ambulacral zones narrow and straight; plating quadriserial throughout with every other plate a demiplate occluded from the adradial suture. Pore-pairs uniform and with a surrounding peripodial rim, alternately displaced to left and right forming a biseries down the centre of each half-ambulacrum. Interambulacral zones broad and composed of a large number of small, polygonal plates forming semi-regular en chevron rows; plates imbricate. Basicoronal plate present adorally. Coronal plates with small secondary tubercles or granules only, bearing short simple spines. Teeth oligolamellar.

Remarks.— Rhenechinus closely resembles the late Silurian Echinocystites, but in that taxon the interambulacral plates are more scale-like and more irregular in arrangement, not forming semi-organized rows, and the outer column of primary ambulacral plates always extend to the perradius. Rhenechinus is distinguished from all other Devonian echinoids by its quadriserial pore-pair arrangement and demiplates in the ambulacra. In Albertechinus, Deneechinus, Nortonechinus, Lepidechinoides and Porechinus the ambulacral pore-pairs are uniserial and there are no demiplates. Proterocidarids, such as Proterocidaris and Pholidocidaris, differ from Rhenechinus in having enlarged oral pore-pairs and more than four columns of plates in their ambulacral zones.

Rhenechinus ibericus (Hauser & Landeta, 2007)

Figs 3, 4, 5A-F
2007 *Lepidocentrus ibericus* Hauser & Landeta, p. 66, text-figs 2, 3.

*Types*: The holotype is the specimen referred to by Hauser & Landeta (2007), housed in a private collection.

*Material studied*: Five specimens, UO DGO-23000-23003, NHMEE13984.

*Occurrence*: Emsian, Lower Devonian, of Cap la Vela (Arnao), northern Spain.

*Diagnosis*.– A species of *Rhenechinus* with just four columns of interambulacral plates in each zone. Surface of interambulacral plates with pitted ornament.

*Description*.– All specimens have collapsed post-mortem but are estimated to have been up to 45 mm in diameter. They were almost certainly globular in shape. The apical disc is not seen but in UO DGO-23002 there is a single genital plate in isolation (Fig. 5B). This has a single gonopore and no hydropore openings and is pentagonal in outline. Ambulacral zones are relatively narrow and composed of four columns of plates throughout. In each half column a large primary element extends from adradial to perradial suture and alternates with a smaller demiplate, which is excluded from the adradial suture (Figs 3B, 4C, 5A). In external view the ambulacra are flush and each element has a single rather large pore-pair with a subcircular tube-foot attachment rim slightly less than 1 mm in diameter. The pore-pairs are offset on the demiplates so that there are two biserial columns of pore-pairs in each ambulacral zone. There is usually one large mamelon (ca. 0.4 mm diameter) without a boss on the lower
adradial side of the pore-pair on primary plates, accompanied by two or three small granules (ca. 0.2 mm diameter). The occluded plates have just one or two granules at most. On the internal surface each primary plate thickens perradially, giving rise to a haft that arches inwards towards the perradius forming a ridge (Fig. 4D). This internal ridge is more strongly developed on adoral plates than on adapical plates. The perradial edge of these plates is notched by a longitudinal groove for the radial water vessel, which therefore lay enclosed within the ambulacral plates. There is also a lateral canal that leads to the pore-pair on the primary ambulacral plate, and which is also therefore enclosed. Ambulacral elements close to the peristome become narrower with long adradial projections, and their pore-pairs become smaller (Fig. 3A). All plate edges are bevelled, with the adradial edge of ambulacral plates passing under the adjacent interambulacral plates.

Interambulacral plates in the best-preserved specimen form four columns towards the ambitus (Fig. 3B). The outer columns are composed of irregularly pentagonal plates while the middle two columns are composed of strictly hexagonal plates (Fig. 5A). Plates are 5-6 mm in diameter and rather thick (0.8 mm) compared to those of *R. hopstaetteri*. Plate edges are bevelled with adradial faces overlapping ambulacral plates and interradial faces underlapping adjacent plates. Towards the apex the outer columns of plates are pinched out leaving just two interambulacral columns. At the peristome there is a single basicoronal plate followed by two equal-sized plates, which in turn abut a large central hexagonal plate plus two adradial plates (Fig. 3A). Externally interambulacral plates are ornamented by a dense circular pitting, with pits approximately 0.2 mm in diameter (Fig. 4A). In between the pits there are scattered small granules again approximately 0.2 mm in diameter.
Primary spines are preserved only in the ambulacral regions. They are up to 2
mm in length, circular in cross-section, and without a hollow core. There is a very
short base of fine stereom mesh and the exterior of the shaft has fine longitudinal
ridges of stereom. Smaller secondary spines up to 1 mm in length are also present and
are the only spines found on interambulacral plates.

There are two forms of pedicellaria present; large spinate tridentate forms and
short, bulbous tridentate forms (Fig. 5D-F). The large spinate tridentate forms are
1.2-1.6 mm in length and have a broad, expanded base (0.3 mm in width) and long
spine-like blades that meet for almost their entire length and are subcircular in cross-
section. Close to the base is there a narrow gap between the blades, occupying no
more than one-third of the blade length. The interior structure and appearance of the
valves is unknown. These spinate tridentates are found along the ambulacral zones.
The second type of pedicellaria is similar only smaller, between 0.3 and 0.6 mm in
length, and with shorter, stubbier blades. They have a rounded base without handles
which grades into short blades that contact along most of their length. Again there is
a narrow opening, much shorter than the length of the blades, close to the base. Their
interior structure is also unknown. These small pedicellariae are found in association
with both ambulacral and interambulacral zones.

The lantern is preserved in two specimens, NHM EE13984 and UO DGO-
23001. Large hemipyramids are present but are always partially buried under other
plates of the test so that their overall shape is impossible to reconstruct. They taper
adorally and each has a deep, well-defined outer groove for retractor and protractor
muscle attachment (Fig. 3A). Rotulae are of the hinge-construction type (Fig. 4B).
Both internal (Ri) and external (Re) surfaces are clearly displayed and show the
articulation facets and symmetrical ligament insertion furrows for attachment to the
epiphyses. Adaxially the rotula is notched and ends as two rounded projections while proximally there is a flattened condyle with a distinctive V-shaped groove on its lower surface. Epiphyses were presumably present but are nowhere clearly seen. A flat plate seen in Fig. 4Be may be an epiphysis. This has only a weak lateral section unlike the axe-shaped epiphyses of crown-group echinoids illustrated by Kroh & Smith (2010, figs 19A-C). On one surface there is a long median groove, presumably to house the rotula. A single ossicle with a narrow subcircular shaft that ends in a bent head with a double condyle (Fig. 4Bc) is interpreted to be a compass. Teeth are up to 3 mm wide with a flat axial face and convex abaxial face. They are simple oligolamellar in structure (see Reich & Smith 2009), constructed of a biseries of stout lath-like elements (Fig. 5B). At any one point there are some five laths abreast on each side of the tooth.

Remarks. - This species differs from *Rhenechinus hopstaetteri* in having fewer more regularly polygonal interambulacral plates with rather thicker and more upright sutures. Furthermore, the surface of interambulacral plates shows a distinctive pattern of fine circular pits towards their centre, which is never seen in *R. hopstaetteri*.

*Rhenechinus hopstaetteri* Dehm, 1953

Figs 6, 7


v * 1953 *Rhenechinus hopstätteri* Dehm, p. 93, pl. 5, figs 1-4.

v 1961 *Rhenechinus hopstätteri* Dehm. – Kuhn, p. 33, figs 15-16.

1970a *Rhenechinus hopstätteri* Dehm. – Kutscher, p. 96.


1980 *Rhenechinus hopstaetteri* Dehm. – Mittmeyer, p. 38.

v 1990 *Rhenechinus hopstaetteri* Dehm. – Bartels and Brassel, p. 181, fig. 169.

v 1997 “Seeigel *Rhenechinus hopstätteri* mit erhaltenen Stacheln“. – Bartels et al., p. 49, fig. 61.

v 1998 *Rhenechinus hopstaetteri* Dehm, 1953. – Bartels et al., p. 210, fig. 188.


**Types:** Holotype, BSPG. 1955 I 585.

**Other material:** One other specimen, DBM.HS.285.

**Occurrence:** Hunsrück Slate, Lower Emsian, Lower Devonian of Gemünden and Bundenbach, Rhineland-Palatinate, Germany.

**Diagnosis.** - A species of *Rhenechinus* with up to 8 interambulacral columns in a zone with plates of rather irregular shape and size; surface of plates lacking pitted ornamentation.

**Description.** – The holotype (Fig. 7) shows an articulated test in oral view and the new specimen (Fig. 8) is a complete test squashed in lateral profile. The test must have been subglobular in life and taller than wide, with a diameter up to approximately 10
Apical disc unknown but relatively small, as the ambulacra converge adapically (Fig. 7A).

Ambulacral zones are narrow and biserial with a primary element alternating with a small demiplate in each half ambulacrum (Fig. 6B). Pore-pairs are prominent and offset forming a double series in each column. The pore-pairs are about 1 mm in width with an obvious periporal rim; the inner pore pierces the plate rather than forming a marginal notch. There are small granules scattered on the adradial and perradial sides of the zone of pore-pairs. Towards the peristome plates become narrower and wider, and pore-pairs become smaller. Ambulacral plating appears to extend further onto the peristome than interambulacral plates (Fig. 6C).

Interambulacral plates are up to 6 mm in width and irregularly polygonal in outline. At the widest point there are some nine plates abreast. Only the adradial series of plates form a regular column and these may bear slightly larger tubercles than other plates. Plates are relatively thin - not much more than 0.6 mm in thickness, and have bevelled edges. A single plate forms the adoral boundary to the peristome and this is followed by two plates that just touch interradially and then by three plates, a large central hexagonal plate and two adradial pentagonal plates (Fig. 6C).

A membrane of small platelets covers the peristome (Fig. 6C), which is about 20 mm in diameter. While ambulacral plates extend a little way onto this membranous region, they are confined to the outer region.

Spines are up to 4 mm in length and are concentrated in the peristomial and ambulacral zones (Figs 6A, 7B). There are several slender spines to each ambulacral plate, with somewhat longer spines being found adradially and shorter spines perradially. Small spines are also found on interambulacral plates but are not nearly as dense nor as long. Small spinate tridactylous pedicellariae are present on
interambulacral plates (Figs 5G, 7B). These are approximately 1 mm long and have a
swollen base and long spine-like blades that are in contact along most of their length.
The pedicellarial head rests on a small element some 0.2 mm in width that is either a
stem element (as described by Haude 1998) or a small tubercle (preservation is not
adequate to distinguish).

The lantern is present but internal. Hemipyramid tips can be seen (Fig. 7C)
and show a deep abaxial groove for retractor and protractor muscle insertion. The
teeth that protrude have a flat axial face and are simple oligolamellar in structure with
four or five laths abreast on each half. They end at a simple point.

Remarks. - The original description given by Dehm (1953) is detailed and largely
correct. The new specimen provides additional information about the test shape and
about the distribution of spines and pedicellariae. This is a species that attains almost
twice the size of the Spanish species but we do not think the diagnostic differences are
simply size related. Although *R. ibericus* may increase the number of interambulacral
columns in each zone as it grows, the surface ornament in the two species is quite
distinct with the Spanish species having a strongly pitted surface and the German
species a smooth, unpitted surface.

One other echinoid, *Porechinus porosus* is known from the same formation
(Dehm 1961) and this does have a strongly pitted ornament to its plate surfaces.
However, it differs in having distinctly thicker plating and ambulacral plates that are
uniserially arranged. All the other echinoid specimens of the Lower Emsian Hunsrück
Slate (*Lepidocentrus* spp.; Table 1) are based on fragmentary material and need to be
revised. This is made especially difficult by the incomplete nature of the type
material of *Lepidocentrus* (Müller 1857).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Museum acronym and number</th>
<th>Original collection</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1)</td>
<td>BSPG 1955 I 585</td>
<td>leg. n. n. 1949; don. Helmut Hopstätter / Simmern; 1952</td>
<td><em>Rhenechinus hopstaetteri</em> Dehm, 1953</td>
<td>Quarry Kaisergrube, Gemünden</td>
<td>Hopstätter 1952; Dehm 1953; hierin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3)</td>
<td>GZG.INV.19996</td>
<td>purchased in 1963</td>
<td><em>Lepidocentrus</em> sp.</td>
<td>Quarry Mühlenberg near Bundenbach</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6)</td>
<td>private collection</td>
<td>A. Seilacher / Tübingen</td>
<td><em>Lepidocentrus</em> sp.</td>
<td>?Bundenbach</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8)</td>
<td>DBM.HS.337</td>
<td>--</td>
<td><em>Lepidocentrus</em> sp.</td>
<td>Quarry Kreuzberg near Weisel</td>
<td>Bartels &amp; Brassel 1990; Bartels <em>et al.</em> 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9)</td>
<td>NM.PWL.1992/234-LS</td>
<td>--</td>
<td><em>Lepidocentrus</em> sp.</td>
<td>Quarry Eschenbach-Bocksberg, Bundenbach</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10)</td>
<td>NHM.E76446</td>
<td>Purchased from F. Krantz in 1905</td>
<td>?<em>Rhenechinus hopstetteri</em></td>
<td>Bundenbach</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Map showing the geographical position and geological setting of the Aguión Formation and the echinoid bearing horizon (arrowed symbol), modified from García-Alcalde (1992).

Fig. 2. Stratigraphical succession of the Aguión Formation at Arnao Platform showing lithological units, their faunal composition and types of communities. The level bearing echinoids is indicated by an arrow. From Arbizu et al. (1995).

Fig. 3. *Rhenechinus ibericus* (Hauser & Landeta, 2007). Aguión Formation, Emsian, Lower Devonian, of Arnao, Asturias, NW Spain. A, UO DGO-23001 showing adoral plating and elements of the lantern. B, UO DGO-23000 showing partially articulated plating of the upper surface. Abbreviations: H = hemipyramid; t = tooth; 1, 2a,b, 3a-c = first three rows of interambulacral plates.

Fig. 4. *Rhenechinus ibericus* (Hauser & Landeta, 2007). Aguión Formation, Emsian, Lower Devonian, of Arnao, Asturias, NW Spain. A, B, D, NHM EE13984; A, entire specimen; B, detail of lantern elements; D, detail of internal haft on ambulacral plates. C, UO DGO-23000, showing detail of ambulacral plating. Abbreviations: c = compass; e = epiphysis; H = hemipyramid; h = haft on internal face of ambulacral plate; Ri = rotula – internal face; Re = rotula – external face.

Fig. 5. A-F, *Rhenechinus ibericus* (Hauser & Landeta, 2007). Aguión Formation, Emsian, Lower Devonian, of Arnao, Asturias, NW Spain; camera lucida drawings. A,

Fig. 6. *Rhenechinus hopstaetteri* Dehm, 1953, BSPG.1955 I 585 (holotype), Hunrück Slate, Lower Emsian, Lower Devonian of Gemünden, Rhineland-Palatinate, Germany. A, general view; B, detail of ambulacral plating; C, detail of oral region. Abbreviations: p = peristomial membrane; t = tooth; 1, 2a,b, 3a-c = first three rows of interambulacral plates.

Fig. 7. *Rhenechinus hopstaetteri* Dehm, 1953, DBM.HS 285, Hunrück Slate, Lower Emsian, Lower Devonian of the Eschenbach-Bocksberg mine, Bundenbach, Rhineland-Palatinate, Germany. A, general view; B, detail of adoral plating showing pedicellariae and spines; C, detail of adoral ambulacral plating. Abbreviations: amb = ambulacral zone; p = pedicellaria; ps = pedicellarial stalk element; pst = peristome; ap = apical disc region.